

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

119

CR No.3531 of 2017
Date of Decision:16.12.2017

Karamjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Davinder Singh and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Ms. Puja Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravinder Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(Oral)

Petitioner-wife is in the revision petition against the order dated 06.10.2016 fixing the maintenance pendente lite at the rate of Rs.1,000/- per month.

It is the case of the wife that her husband is working as a Medical Representative apart from doing part time job as an accountant and marriage organiser and his monthly income is Rs.40,000/-. In reply, husband denied the aforesaid fact, however, he admitted that he is doing a private job and earning Rs.10,000/- per month. He has, however, submitted that he is living in a rented accommodation and paying rent.

Learned trial Court as observed earlier, fixed the maintenance at the rate of Rs.1,000/-.

In the considered opinion of this Court, the maintenance as awarded by the Court is very low and insufficient. These days no one can survive with Rs.1,000/- per month.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the wife is also maintaining a 4 years old child. However, a reading of the order shows that no such plea was ever raised before the learned trial Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out that in the application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, this fact was disclosed.

Taking into consideration, the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the wife is entitled to maintenance at the rate of Rs.5,000/- per month from the date of application.

Revision petition is disposed of.

16.12.2017
sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No