

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3870 of 2016(O&M)

Date of Decision:19.05.2017

M/S ENAVANT TOUR AND TRAVELS

...Petitioner

Versus

M/S MAHINDRA & MAHINDRA FINANCIAL SERVICES LTD AND
ANR

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: None.

SNEH PRASHAR, J.(Oral)

Neither on the last date of hearing, nor today learned counsel
for the petitioner has appeared.

It was reported by the Registry that notice to respondent No.2,
could not be issued as process fee was not filed. Today again the report is
for want for process fee notice to respondent No.2 could not be issued.

Since, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner and
there appears no justification for adjourning the petition, it is dismissed for
want of prosecution.

May 19, 2017

rashmi

(SNEH PRASHAR)

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether Reportable?

Yes/No