

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

225

**CR No.3602 of 2014
Date of decision: 23.01.2017**

Azad Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Suraj Mal and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present:- Mr. Gagandeep Rana, Advocate, for
Mr. B.S. Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Bhardwaj, Advocate
for respondents No. 6 to 9.

SNEH PRASHAR, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that a compromise has taken place between the parties which has been reduced into writing and consequent to the compromise, the instant revision petition has become infructuous and be dismissed as such.

Dismissed as infructuous.

23.01.2017
Dinesh Bansal

**(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No