

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207

CR No.3746 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision: 15.05.2017

Mohinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Sunil Kumar & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr.Rakesh Bhatia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms.Preeti Kalia, Advocate, for
Mr.Rajinder Sharma, Advocate, for the respondents.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the eviction petition of the petitioner-landlord, on the grounds of *bona fide* requirement and material additions and alterations, had been dismissed and he has now expired.

Even otherwise, a concurrent finding has also been recorded by the Courts below that the premises were required for the daughter, who is already married and the need was not *bona fide* and that no expert had been examined for eviction which was sought also on the ground of the building being unfit and unsafe.

Accordingly, the present revision petition is dismissed, as not pressed, in view of the statement of the counsel.

15.05.2017

sailesh

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No