

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.3471 of 2014
Date of Decision:3.10.2017**

Hari Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

Pappu Ram and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.J.P.Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.G.S.Gandhi, Advocate for the respondents.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents, at the very outset, submits that since both the impugned orders (Annexures P-3 and P-4) are appealable orders, let the instant civil revision petition be disposed of, with liberty to the petitioner to avail his remedy of statutory appeal, at the first instance. He further submits that in case the appropriate appeals are filed by the aggrieved party including the present petitioner within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, the respondents shall not raise the plea of limitation against the petitioner because he had been pursuing this revision petition before this Court under a bonafide wrong impression.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the above-said statement made by the learned counsel for the respondent.

In view of the above-said fair statement made by the learned counsel for the respondents, the instant civil revision petition is disposed of, with liberty to the petitioner to avail his remedy(s) against both the impugned orders (Annexures P-3 and P-4), at the first instance. It is also

made clear that in case the appropriate appeals are filed within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, the respondents shall not raise the plea of limitation against the petitioner. Further, if an application under Section 5 read with Section 14 of the Limitation Act is filed along with his appeal for which the petitioner shall always be at liberty to do so, the learned appellate court shall consider the said application by passing an appropriate order thereon, in accordance with law and also keeping in view this fact that the petitioner had been pursuing this revision petition before this Court under a bonafide wrong impression.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the present civil revision petition stands disposed of.

3.10.2017
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No