

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

CR-359-2012

Date of Decision: 26.09.2017

Major Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Mandir Durga Devi and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK.

**Present: Mr. Varun Jain, Advocate,
for Mr. Arihant Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioners.**

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J.(Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the order dated 30.08.2010 passed by the learned trial court, whereby plaint of the plaintiffs-petitioners was returned with liberty to file the same before the court of competent jurisdiction and also the appellate order dated 04.10.2011 passed by the learned District Judge, dismissing the appeal of the plaintiffs, petitioners have approached this Court by way of instant revision petition, filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, for setting aside the impugned orders.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners.

The revision petition was filed on 02.01.2012. When nobody shown any inclination to argue the matter and it remained pending for quite some time, this Court adjourned the revision petition *sine die* vide order dated 12.03.2013. Finally notice of motion was issued vide order dated

02.12.2016. Thereafter, when service was not being effected on the

respondents and the case came up for hearing before this Court on 24.05.2017, following order was passed by this Court:-

“In view of the office report dated 22.5.2017, learned counsel for the petitioners undertakes to file correct addresses of the respondents within a period of three days.

Let him do so.

On his doing the needful, let fresh notices be issued to the respondents for 26.9.2017.”

As per office report dated 22.09.2017, learned counsel for the petitioners did not furnish correct addresses of the respondents because of which notices could not be issued.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that despite making his best efforts, petitioners have not supplied him correct addresses of the respondents because of which he could not comply with the abovesaid orders passed by this Court. He expresses his inability to assist this Court in this regard, as noticed here-in-above.

In view of the above, present revision petition is dismissed for non-compliance of the abovesaid orders passed by this Court and also for non-prosecution.

Disposed of accordingly.

September 26, 2017
seema

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No