

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No. 3339 of 2017
Date of Decision:- 10.5.2017
....Petitioner**

Rahul Manchanda

vs.

Darshan Singh and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr.Kulbhushan Raheja, Advocate, for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The petitioner is aggrieved because of delay on the part of the respondents by taking frequent dates, whereas, the witnesses of the claimant-petitioner were present. The case has been adjourned on various dates without any effective proceedings.

On perusal of some of the zimni orders, it appears that witnesses were present but their cross-examination could not be conducted. On some of the dates, either the Presiding Officer was on leave or it was holiday. No doubt, an application for amendment of the claim petition was also moved by the petitioner-claimant and, as such, it cannot be said that the delay was caused by the respondents or by the Court.

Accordingly, no direction can be issued to conclude the proceedings in the claim petition within some specified period. However, the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Faridkot is directed to make all efforts to expedite the proceedings.

Revision petition stands disposed of.

**May 10, 2017
poonam**

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

Yes