

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 3281 of 2017

Date of decision : 08.05.2017

M/s Ramesh Chand & sons

....Petitioner

V/s

Rajesh Kumar

....Respondent

BEFORE : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Sudhir Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAJAN GUPTA J.

Present revision petition is directed against the order passed by trial court whereby application of the petitioner for leading additional evidence has been rejected. It has been urged before the court that it came to the knowledge of the petitioner-tenant that landlord owns another property in the area. Evidence qua same has to be led before the court below in order to rebut the plea of bona fide requirement. Admittedly, petitioner availed ample opportunity at the time he was to lead his evidence. However, he failed to produce the evidence now sought to be produced. Trial court has, thus rejected the plea. It has also observed that property referred to in the application has been shown to be a residential in nature. I am of the considered view that no interference in revisional jurisdiction is called for. Dismissed.

Petitioner shall be at liberty to raise all the pleas at the stage of arguments.

May 08, 2017

Ajay

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No