

**CR No. 3226 of 2017
DECIDED ON: MAY 24, 2017**

MANDEEP SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

HAKAM SINGH

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. PKS Phoolka, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition, petitioner has sought the setting aside of order dated February 02, 2017 (Annexure P-1) passed by learned Civil Judge (Sr. Division), Bathinda, whereby an application dated August 20, 2017 filed by the petitioner for issuing directions to the plaintiff to disclose the name of the counsel, from whom he got issued the notice to the defendant as mentioned in the application filed by the defendant; has been dismissed.

At the very outset of arguments, it has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that he is mainly aggrieved of the observation made by learned trial Court in the impugned order February 02, 2017 to the effect that “Since no such notice is given to the defendant by the plaintiff. So no ground is made to give any directions to the plaintiff to disclose the name of the Advocate” as this observation could be pre-judicial to the case of the petitioner in future and he should be allowed to agitate this matter during the course of

evidence and put a notice in question to the concerned witnesses for the purposes of cross-examination.

Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with observation that any observation made by learned trial Court in the impugned order dated February 02, 2017 shall have no bearing/effect on the main suit, which shall be decided by learned trial Court independently on the basis of evidence available on file.

MAY 24, 2017
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*
Whether reportable *Yes/No*