

**222 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CR No. 3577 of 2015

Date of Decision: 11.10.2017

SITA DEVI

-PETITIONER

VS

NIRMALA DEVI AND ORS

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Vinod Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Siddharth Gulati, Advocate,
for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr.Arvind Bansal, Advocate,
for respondent No.6.

Mr. Sandeep Suri, Advocate,
for respondent No.7- Insurance Company.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been preferred by the petitioner under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 20.11.2014 passed by the Commissioner under Employees Compensation Act, 1923, awarding compensation in favour of the claimants.

From the reading of Section 30 of the said Act, it appears that the order is appealable in nature.

The arguments raised by learned counsel for the

petitioner in terms of impugned order being perverse and illegal can be raised before the appellate Forum also.

In view of availability of alternate remedy of appeal under Section 30 of the said Act, the petitioner is not entitled to resort to extra-ordinary supervisory jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. The petitioner can avail alternate remedy in accordance with law.

This revision petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

11.10.2017
jjyoti

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No