

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

123		
	CR No.3187 of 2017 Date of decision :24.10.2017	
Natha Singh and another		...Petitioners
	Versus	
Chanchal and others		...Respondents
	CR No.3188 of 2017	
Natha Singh and another		...Petitioners
	Versus	
Savinder Gill and others		...Respondents
	CR No.3189 of 2017	
Natha Singh and another		...Petitioners
	Versus	
Himani Chopra and others		...Respondents
	CR No.3190 of 2017	
Natha Singh and another		...Petitioners
	Versus	
Vani Sharma and others		...Respondents
	CR No.3191 of 2017	
Natha Singh and another		...Petitioners
	Versus	
Asha Rani Jain and others		...Respondents
	CR No.3210 of 2017	
Natha Singh and another		...Petitioners
	Versus	
Kashmir Kaur and others		...Respondents

CR No.3211 of 2017

Natha Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Vijay Laxmi and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Chetan Bansal , Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. B.S. Jaswal, Advocate and
for respondent No.1 in
CR Nos.3187, 3189, 3190, 3191, 3210, 3211 of 2017 .

Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate
for respondent No.1 in CR No.3188 of 2017.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

I shall be disposing of these 7 revision petitions as only one issue is arising in all these cases. In fact, all these revision petitions arise out of a civil appeal pending before learned Additional District Judge, Amritsar.

Purchasers from defendants-appellants had filed an application under Order 1 Rule 10 read with Section 151 CPC for being impleaded as respondents in the appeal.

The aforesaid applications have been allowed by the Courts allowing the purchasers to be impleaded/added as respondents in the appeal only.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has vehemently argued that the order passed by the Court is a non-speaking order and the Court has not given cogent reasons for allowing the application.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondents have

submitted that their clients have purchased the property through registered sale deeds and, therefore, they are necessary party.

It is not in dispute that the respondents-applicants had purchased the property through registered sale deeds. Copies of such sale deeds were placed on the file. Applicants-respondents are purchasers from the defendants in the suit, who are appellants before the learned First Appellate Court. Applicants-respondents have an interest in the litigation and they are entitled to defend the same by getting them impleaded as respondents in the appeal.

No doubt, learned Additional District Judge, Amritsar has wrongly observed that applicants are bona fide purchasers. Such finding in the considered opinion of this Court cannot be given by the Court without recording any evidence.

Taking into consideration the fact that learned First Appellate Court has exercised its discretion and had given opportunity to the purchasers to be added as respondent in the appeal. This Court does not find any good ground to interfere with the discretion exercised.

Hence, all the revision petitions are dismissed.

24.10.2017
sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned : No
Whether Reportable : No