

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CR No. 3161 of 2017

Date of Decision: 24.08.2017

PARVEEN KUMAR

-PETITIONER

VS

JYOTI

-RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. J.P. Jangu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has assailed the order dated 17.01.2017 passed by District Judge, Family Court, Gurgaon whereby an application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act filed by the respondent was allowed and an amount of Rs.6,000/- was granted towards maintenance besides an amount of Rs.2200/- towards litigation expenses.

The marriage was solemnized on 06.12.2014. A son took birth from the wedlock on 10.09.2015.

According to the assertion made by the respondent, the petitioner-husband is a B.Tech. and is working in TATA Housing against salary of Rs.50,000/-. This fact has been denied by learned counsel for the petitioner-husband by stating that he is a student of B.Tech. and is still unemployed.

The provision in terms of Section 24 of Hindu Marriage Act is just to prevent vagrancy and destitution of the wife. The award of amount of Rs.6,000/- per month to the wife who has already having the minor son cannot be held to be on higher side.

Finding no merit in this revision petition, the same is accordingly, dismissed.

24.08.2017
jyoti

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No