

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 3330 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.5.2017

Bohar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Massa Singh and another

...Respondents

CR No. 4058 of 2016 (O&M)

Satnam Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Massa Singh and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Kewal Krishan, Advocate for
Ms. Premjit Kalia, Advocate
for the petitioner in CR No. 3330 of 2016.

Mr. Kewal Krishan, Advocate for
Mr. Karanjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner in CR No. 4058 of 2016.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

When both these civil revision petitions bearing CR Nos. 3330 and 4058 of 2016 came up for hearing on 17.5.2017, following order was passed by this Court:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that parties have already arrived at an amicable settlement by way of a written compromise, which has been submitted before the Lok Adalat at Amritsar. He seeks short adjournment to get a copy of the compromise arrived at between the parties, before next date of hearing, failing which, he shall address his arguments.

CR No. 3330 of 2016 (O&M)
CR No. 4058 of 2016 (O&M)

On his request, adjourned to 24.5.2017.

*A copy of this order be placed on the
file of connected case.”*

In compliance of the abovesaid order passed by this Court, learned counsel for the petitioners has placed before this Court a copy of compromise deed dated 24.3.2017, arrived at between the parties, which is taken on record as Mark A.

Learned counsel for the petitioners fairly states that in view of the compromise arrived at between the parties, both these revision petitions have been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of, as such.

In view of the abovesaid fair statement made by learned counsel for the petitioners, both these revision petitions are disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

24.5.2017
AK Sharma

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No