

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(227)

CR-3293-2015

Decided on: July 17, 2017.

Rupinder Singh and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

Chiman Lal

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: None.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Application of the defendant-petitioners for examination of handwriting and fingerprint expert to establish that there had been unlawful alteration in the pre-note and the receipt, has been dismissed.

Taking into consideration the stage of the trial i.e. the suit had reached the stage of closure of the evidence of the defendant-petitioners and the intention of the defendant-petitioners to delay the proceedings of recovery, the application had been dismissed.

On notice of motion having been issued, a report had been received that the respondent has died. No application for impleading the LR's, has been filed. Today even none appeared to represent the petitioners.

I have considered the facts and circumstances of the case and I am of the opinion that the trial Court has given cogent reasons for dismissing the application for permitting the defendant-petitioners to produce the handwriting expert.

No ground is made out for interference in the interim order passed by the trial Court.

However, it is observed that if at any stage, the Court suo moto finds the requirement to compare the signatures or seek the report of handwriting expert, it will be open to the Court to use the said discretion.

The revision petition is dismissed.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

July 17, 2017
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No