

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 2853 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.7.2017

M/s M.L. Trehan

...Petitioner

Versus

Chief Engineer, Bathinda Zone and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Vinay Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, fairly states that he could not comply with the orders dated 24.4.2017 as well as 28.4.2017 passed by this Court for want of appropriate instructions, which are not being passed on to him by the petitioner. He further submits that he has made best possible efforts on his part but the petitioner is not coming forward. In the absence of appropriate instructions from the petitioner, learned counsel for petitioner expresses his inability to assist the Court.

In view of the above, instant revision petition is disposed of, however, with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh one on the same cause of action, if necessity arises, but after ensuring strict compliance of the abovesaid orders.

Disposed of, accordingly.

17.7.2017
AK Sharma

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No