

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.2836 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 09.11.2017

Kuldip Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Mohinder Singh (now deceased) through his LRs

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. D.S. Pheruman, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumeet Mahajan, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Amit Kohar, Advocate for the respondents.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The defendant-petitioner is in revision petition against the order by which the plaintiff-respondent has been permitted to amend the plaint and incorporate the subsequent events which had taken place during the pendency of the suit.

Learned Court after taking into consideration all aspects of the matter, has allowed the amendment.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has vehemently argued that such subsequent event cannot be permitted to be brought in the plaint by way of amendment.

I am afraid that such arguments cannot be accepted. The subsequent events which had taken place during the pendency of the suit

can be taken note of and if on the basis of the subsequent events, any relief is made out, the Court is even permitted to mould the relief without any amendment. In the present case, the plaintiff has only permitted to amend the plaint to bring in corresponding pleadings.

In these circumstances, there is no ground to interfere with the impugned order passed by the trial Court.

Revision petition is dismissed.

The suit is pending for the last seven years, therefore, the learned trial Court is requested to expedite the trial and decide the suit preferably within a period of six months from today.

09.11.2017

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No