

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 304 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.2.2017

Devinder Pal and another

...Petitioners.

Versus

Davinder Dass Chela Kundal Dass

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Shailender Kashyap, Advocate
for the respondent.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent refers to notice of motion order dated 16.1.2012 as well as order dated 23.8.2012 passed by this Court to contend that once DW1 and DW2 have been produced before learned trial court and they have been cross examined by the plaintiff-respondent, nothing survives in the present revision petition and the same may be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous, because this was the only grievance raised by the petitioners.

Neither anybody has come present on behalf of the petitioners to press this revision petition, nor any request for pass over has been made. The abovesaid statement made by learned counsel for the respondent-plaintiff has gone undisputed and unrebutted.

CR No. 304 of 2012 (O&M)

2

In view of the above, present revision petition is disposed with a direction to the learned trial court to grant two more opportunities to the defendants-petitioners to conclude their remaining evidence, if any. Let learned trial court proceed further and decide the suit expeditiously.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, present revision petition stands disposed of.

13.2.2017
AK Sharma

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No