

115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.2472 of 2017

Date of Decision: October 09, 2017

M/s Bombay Confectioners through its Proprietor

..... Petitioner

Versus

Harmohinder Singh and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Som Nath Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. B. S. Gulani, Advocate
for the respondents.

DEEPAK SIBAL J. (ORAL)

After arguing the matter at length and having not received a favourable response from the Bench, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has expressed apprehension that the observations made in the impugned order on the merits of the matter may prejudice the petitioner during the trial.

Such apprehension is not well founded as the aforesaid observations have been made in an interim order and would therefore have no effect when the matter on the basis of evidence produced by either of the parties is finally adjudicated.

October 09, 2017

rittu

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No