

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CR-2467-2017 (O & M)
Date of decision: 06.04.2017**

Indrawati Petitioner

V/s

Meenakshi and ors.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. R.S. Budhwar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Rajan Gupta, J. (Oral)

Present revision petition is directed against the order passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Panipat, whereby he has allowed the application for amendment of the plaint and for addition of a party to the suit.

Limited challenge to the order is that amendment has not been allowed in accordance with the parameters laid down under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC.

I find no merit in this revision petition. Admittedly, the parties have merely completed their pleadings. Evidence is yet to commence. At this stage, the instant application was moved for seeking relief of mandatory injunction. The court felt that the amendment as sought would not change the nature of the suit. It, thus, allowed both the prayers i.e. impleadment of UHBVN as a party to the suit and for adding the prayer of mandatory injunction.

I do not find any infirmity with this finding. Even proviso under order 6 Rule 17 CPC is not attracted to the case.

Petition is without any merit and is hereby dismissed.

April 06, 2017
sukhpreet

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No