

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

242

**CR No. 2462 of 2017(O&M)
Date of decision: 30.8.2017**

**INDO SWISS INTERNATIONAL
CONVENT SCHOOL & ORS**

.....petitioners

Versus

SUKHWINDER KAUR & ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Ashok Giri, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr.L.S.Mann, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr.S.P.Soi, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J (oral)

On 15.5.2017, following order was passed by this
Court:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners places
reliance on para 4 of the undertaking dated 20.4.2017
furnished before this Court by CM-8713- C-2017, to
contend, inter alia that in case plot measuring 7
marlas situated in Sandhu Enclave (PUDA approved),
Nakodar where the claimants respondents are

residing and collector rate of which is more than Rs.12 lacs is not acceptable to respondents No.1 to 4 in lieu of remaining amount of about Rs.11 lacs, then petitioners shall arrange the remaining amount and will pay the same to the claimants before the learned executing court within a period of three months.

Notice of motion for 19.7.2017.

Process dasti as well as through the counsel of the respondent before the learned court below, in addition to ordinary process.

Till the next date of hearing, warrants of attachment issued vide impugned order dated 17.3.2017 shall remain in abeyance.”

Today, learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 4 states that the offer in respect of plot measuring 7 marlas situated in Sandhu Enclave (PUDA approved) is not acceptable to respondents No.1 to 4 at the rate awarded by the Tribunal.

In view of above, this revision petition is disposed of by directing the petitioner to pay the amount of ₹ 11 lacs along with interest as awarded by the Tribunal within a period of three months from today. Keeping in view the nature of controversy, the aforesaid period of three months be strictly construed so as to comply with the present direction, failing which, respondent Nos. 1 to 4 would be at liberty to get the present revision petition

revived and also to initiate lawful proceedings under Contempt of Court Act.

Till then the Executing Court shall adjourn the proceedings.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

August 30, 2017
anita

Whether reasoned/speaking **Yes/No**

Whether reportable **Yes/No**