

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 2457 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.4.2017**

M/s ATC India Tower Corporation Pvt. Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

Shakuntla Devi and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Vishal Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Present revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, is directed against the order dated 27.1.2017 (Annexure P-5) passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Rewari, during the pendency of the first appeal of the plaintiff, issuing interim direction against the petitioner.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

It is a matter of record that this very impugned order dated 27.1.2017 came to be challenged before this Court by one of the co-defendants of the petitioner by way of CR No. 1496 of 2017 (Sanjay Vs. Shakuntala Devi and others). This Court, vide its order dated 2.3.2017, upheld this very impugned order, while dismissing the abovesaid revision petition. In this view of the matter, present revision petition has been found squarely covered against the petitioner by abovesaid CR No. 1496 of 2017.

CR No. 2457 of 2017 (O&M)

In view of the above, there is no scope of interference at the hands of this Court, while exercising its revisional jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

No other argument was raised.

Considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case noted above, coupled with the reasons aforementioned, this Court is of the considered view that present revision petition is misconceived, bereft of merit and without any substance. Thus, it must fail. No case for interference has been made out.

Resultantly, with the abovesaid observations made, instant revision petition stands dismissed, however, with no order as to costs.

17.4.2017
AK Sharma

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No