

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.2392 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 12.07.2017

Sarwan Singh

. . . Petitioner

Versus

Amrik Lal

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Anil Kshetarpal, J. (Oral)

This revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, against the order dated 15.09.2016 (Annexure P-2), passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Amritsar.

The learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Amritsar had ordered closure of evidence of the petitioner as counsel for the plaintiff had given an undertaking on 31.08.2016 to the effect that he will conclude the entire evidence on the next date of hearing.

The suit was instituted on 04.05.2015. I have seen the *zimni* orders passed on 04.08.2016, 19.08.2016 and 31.08.2016 and after passing the order dated 15.09.2016 (P-2), plaintiff/petitioner changed his counsel and filed an application for recalling of order dated 15.09.2016 on

Civil Revision No.2392 of 2017 (O&M)

the ground that plaintiff had never authorized Shri Sanjay Dhingra, Advocate, earlier counsel, to make any such statement.

Without going into the aforesaid dispute, in my opinion, in the interest of justice, it would be appropriate if the plaintiff/petitioner is given one more opportunity to conclude its evidence. As informed by learned counsel for the petitioner, the next date before the Court of Civil Judge (Junior Division), Amritsar is 15.07.2017. Counsel for the petitioner has undertaken to conclude the entire evidence of the plaintiff on 15.07.2017 or any other date fixed by the Court thereafter, but positively within a period of 1 month from 15.07.2017.

I have passed this order in the interest of justice, however, defendant would be liberty to make an application, if they feel aggrieved thereof.

Disposed of.

[ANIL KSHETARPAL]
JUDGE

12.07.2017
sachin

Whether Speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No