

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CR No.2390 of 2017(O&M)

Date of Decision:-08.09.2017

Suresh Kumar.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Smt. Raj Rani.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Mukesh Kumar Verma, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Aman Mittal, Advocate for

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate for the respondent.

JASWANT SINGH, J (ORAL)

Petitioner (tenant) is in revision directed against the concurrent judgments passed by the Authorities below whereby he has been evicted from the demised shop no.660 situated in Mohalla Kayastwara, Rewari on the ground of personal necessity of the landlady.

At the time of hearing learned Counsel for the landlady submits that the parties have arrived at a written compromise dated 7.9.2017 whereby the tenant on receipt of a sum of Rs.40,000/- has vacated the premises on morning of today i.e. 8.9.2017. Notarized photocopy of the written compromise dated 7.9.2017 has been produced in Court. Same is taken on record as Annexure **Mark-A**.

In response, learned Counsel for the petitioner (tenant) submits

that he has no objection however, in the light of the statement of the counsel for the landlady concedes that the the revision would become infructuous.

In view of the above, present revision is hereby dismissed as infructuous. However, in case if the stand of the respondent-landlady is not correct, then the petitioner (tenant) would be entitled to seek the revival of the present revision by making application within 2 weeks from today.

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

September 08, 2017
Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>