

508 CR-2450-2014
VARINDER HANDA V/S MANMOHAN KRISHAN SOOD

*** * * ***

Present: Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate for the respondent-landlord.

*** * * ***

An ejectment order was passed against the petitioner by the Rent Controller, which was confirmed by the Appellate Authority. Hence, this petition at the instance of the tenant.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-landlord submits that this Court vide order dated April 24, 2015 assessed the *mesne profits* at Rs.12,000/- per month with effect from the date of the appellate order i.e. 18.02.2017 and granted sometime to the tenant to deposit the amount. Counsel further submits that the tenant did not deposit the amount as directed vide order dated 24.04.2015. Counsel further submits that the landlord, thereafter, took out execution, and has taken the possession. At present, the landlord is in possession of the premises. Learned Counsel when contacted on telephone had stated on March 07, 2017 that notice be issued to the petitioner. Notice was issued to the petitioner. He has not put in appearance, today. Counsel has also not put in appearance.

In the circumstances, this revision petition is ordered to be consigned to recorded with liberty to the petitioner to get it revived, if need be.

(G.C. GARG)
PRESIDENT

(N.K. KAPOOR)
MEMBER

April 07, 2017
Naina Rajput