

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

Civil Revision No.2277 of 2017
Date of decision: April 27, 2017

State University of Performing
& Visual Arts & another

...Petitioners

Versus

Haryana Ex-Services League

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Rajbir Sehrawat, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Ashwani Bakshi, Advocate for the respondent.

Rajan Gupta, J.

Present revision petition is directed against the order dated 08.03.2017, passed by Additional District Judge, Rohtak, whereby defendant/petitioners have been restrained from initiating fresh process for inviting tenders for carrying out horticulture activity in the University.

Trial court dismissed the application filed by the plaintiff/respondent. Said order was challenged before the Additional District Judge, Rohtak. While disposing of the application under Order 39 Rules 1 & 2 CPC, it directed the trial court to dispose of the trial within three months by giving short adjournments. Admittedly, plaintiff has already concluded its evidence by now. Both parties have agreed that the revision petition can be disposed of by issuing direction to the trial court to dispose of the suit at the earliest i.e. within the time frame granted by the lower appellate court.

Ordered accordingly. Counsel for the petitioner/defendants undertakes that he would lead his evidence without any delay. Thus, no further direction is necessary.

Revision petition is disposed of in these terms.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

April 27, 2017
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable:	Yes / No