

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**Date of decision: 01.11.2017**

**CR No.2579 of 2015**

Surinder Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

Naresh Kumar & another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA**

Present: Mr. Parneet Singh Pandher, Advocate, for  
Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Kashyap, Advocate, for  
Mr. Parveen Hans, Advocate, for the respondents.

**RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)**

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents states at the Bar that the tenants have vacated the demised premises and handed over its possession to the landlord, which renders this petition infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

In case, the petitioner/landlord has any subsisting claim albeit regaining possession of the demised premises, he is at liberty to bring it to the notice of this Court by way of an appropriate application.

**01.11.2017**  
Vimal

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]  
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned:*  
*Whether Reportable:*

*Yes/No*  
*Yes/No*