

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 2148 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.5.2017**

Madan Pal and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Ram Kumar and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Sandeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the order dated 14.3.2017 (Annexure P-4) passed by the learned trial court, whereby application of the petitioners under Order 7 Rule 11 read with Section 151 CPC was dismissed, petitioners have approached this Court by way of present revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, for setting aside the impugned order.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

A bare perusal of the impugned order 14.3.2017 (Annexure P-4) passed by the learned trial court would show that it is a suit for declaration with consequential relief of permanent injunction. It is also not in dispute that parties to the litigation were co-sharers in the un-partitioned suit land. Plaintiff has challenged the civil court decree dated 29.9.1992, alleging that defendants got this decree fraudulently and by misrepresentation.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission of the Court to withdrawn the present revision petition.

Permission is granted.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

12.5.2017	(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
AK Sharma	JUDGE
Whether Speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No