

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.2470 of 2016

Date of decision: 15.12.2017

Joginder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Rajwinder Kaur

.....Respondent

BEFORE: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA.

Present: Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. RVS Chugh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkeerat Singh, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the respondent produced a copy of order dated 07.10.2017 whereby the suit was dismissed on account of failure to affix *ad valorem* court fee on the plaint within the time granted. Learned counsel contends that in the circumstances, revision petition challenging the rejection of the application under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 of the CPC does not survive.

2. Faced with the aforementioned position, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the revision petition.

3. In the light of the position as noted above, the revision petition no longer survives and is disposed of as having become infructuous.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

15.12.2017
amit

Note:

- | | | |
|---|---|---------|
| 1. Whether the order is speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No. |
| 2. Whether the order is reportable | : | Yes/No. |