

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 2368 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.10.2017.

M/s Gulati (India) Sales Pvt. Ltd

...Petitioner

versus

Rajender Prasad Sharma through LRs and another ...Respondents

2. CR No. 2369 of 2015 (O&M)

M/s Gulati (India) Sales Pvt. Ltd

...Petitioner

versus

Rajender Prasad Sharma through LRs and another ...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA.

Present : Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Parashar, Advocate for the respondents.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

This order will dispose of two petitions as the subject matter and the parties are common and so are the issues.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that two years ago the tenant has been evicted from the demised premises being an industrial shed. This was as a result of the orders passed in execution proceedings consequent upon default in compliance of orders of this Court when arrears of rent up to date was not tendered. If this is the situation, then I see no valid reason to keep this petition pending as the exercise will only be academic. The petitions are rendered infructuous

As a result, the main petitions have lost meaning and the same are disposed of as no further orders are required to be passed.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

October 10, 2017

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No