

328

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.1887 of 2014

Date of Decision : 12.09.2017

MAHIPAL BHATI

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

ANKUR AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: None.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, JUDGE (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the order dated 20.12.2013 passed by the learned trial Court, whereby their application under Order I Rule 10 for impleadment as party-defendants was dismissed by the learned trial Court, petitioner has approached this Court by way of present civil revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, for setting aside the order.

Notice of motion was issued as far back as on 12.03.2014.

None came present on behalf of the petitioner on 02.03.2015.

When the case came up for hearing on 09.09.2016, the service was found complete and the case was adjourned for arguments in the presence of learned counsel for the parties on 15.03.2017.

Neither anybody come present on behalf of the petitioner nor any request for pass over has been made. It seems that either the suit has been decided by the learned trial Court or the petitioner is no more interested in pursuing the instant revision petition. In view of the above,

the instant revision petition is disposed of, however, with a liberty to the petitioner to get it revived, if necessity arises.

Disposed of.

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE**

September 12, 2017
rajneesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No