

128 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.1699 of 2017

Date of decision: March 09, 2017

Neha

...Petitioner

Versus

Sahil Singh Chauhan

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Puran S. Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

By virtue of the instant petition preferred under Article 227 of Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of appropriate direction to the Additional District Judge to proceed *ex parte* against the respondent in case bearing *HMA No.5290 of 2016* captioned as *Neha Vs. Sahil Singh Chauhan* under Section 13(i) (ia) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

A perusal of the various impugned orders passed in the aforesaid petition reveals that the respondent who is stated to be an Advocate by profession is evading service of summons on one pretext or the other. Even though, he is practicing as Advocate in the District Court, Karnal but he is not receiving the summons. Now the matter is stated to be listed for 29th March, 2017 in the Court of Additional District Judge, Chandigarh.

The trial Court is directed to get the service effected. In case, notice issued to him for 29th March, 2017 has been received back unserved, a fresh effort be made to get the service effected from the date fixed and to pass an appropriate order.

Disposed of.

March 09, 2017
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No