

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 1676 of 2017

Date of decision : 08.03.2017

Narinder Singh

...Petitioner

V/s

Satnam Singh & ors.

...Respondents

BEFORE : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAJAN GUPTA J.

Present revision petition is directed against the order passed by court below whereby it has exercised its power in allowing affidavits of PW1 & PW2 to be taken on record as additional evidence. It has been urged before the court that the order is vitiated as plaintiff availed twenty two opportunities for concluding his evidence. I find no substance in the plea. It is evident that affidavits of two witnesses were lying in the court file but were not taken on record inadvertently. The court, thus allowed both the affidavits to be taken on record treating the same as additional evidence. The order was passed in order to do substantial justice. There is, thus, no ground to interfere in revisional jurisdiction. Dismissed.

March 08, 2017

Ajay

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No