

220

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.1727 of 2014

Date of Decision : 10.10.2017

SAWRAN SINGH AND OTHERS

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Deepender Ahlawat, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manoj Kumar Sangwan, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Advocate for
Mr. Lokesh Singhal, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, JUDGE (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners after arguing the case for sometime and realizing that he will not be in a position to substantiate his arguments on merits, in view of the nature of the impugned order passed by the executing Court, he rightly seeks permission of Court to withdraw the revision petition with liberty to the petitioners to avail their effective remedy under Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act.

Learned counsel for respondent No.3 also fairly states that he has got no objection to the proposal put forth by the learned counsel for the petitioners.

In view of the above, permission is granted and the present revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. It

is also made it clear that if an appropriate application is moved on behalf of the petitioners within a period of three months from today, the competent authority shall consider the same sympathetically qua the issue of limitation because admittedly co-sharers of the petitioners have already received enhanced amount of compensation.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, the revision petition stands disposed of.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

October 10, 2017
rajneesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No