

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

111

CR No.1885 of 2013 (O & M)
Date of Decision:08.12.2017

Dhanpat Rai

...Petitioner

Versus

Amro Bai and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Vinod Khunger, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for the respondents.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(Oral)

In-spite of notice, no one has appeared.

Petitioner/decreed-holder has a limited grievance. Learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out that while passing the impugned order on 26.07.2008, the Court has taken the date of auction to be 04.06.2008, whereas actually auction took place on 09.02.2008. He submits that the petitioner, who was a decreed-holder had deposited the 1/4th of the auction price on 15.02.2008 i.e. after the date of auction.

Taking into consideration the aforesaid fact, the impugned orders dated 26.07.2008 and 22.10.2012 are set aside.

Hence this revision petition is disposed of.

Learned Executing Court is directed to re-decide the matter after noticing the correct date of auction.

08.12.2017
sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No