

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

253

CR-1574-2017

Decided on : 19.07.2017

Trishla Devi and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Saroj Bala and others

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr.Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Rameshwar Singh Malik , J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the order dated 06.12.2016 (Annexure P/4) passed by learned trial Court declining opportunity to the petitioners to cross-examine PW-1 Harjit Singh, petitioners have approached this Court by way of present revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, for setting aside the impugned order.

Notice was issued.

As per office report dated 18.07.2017, service upon respondent No.1 has been duly effected. However, nobody is present on behalf of respondent No.1 to defend the present case.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners.

A bare perusal of the impugned order passed by the trial court shows that it is a non-speaking cryptic order. No reason whatsoever has been assigned by the trial court as to why cross-examination of PW-1-Harjit Singh has been declined. The impugned order has been found non-speaking and cryptic one which cannot be sustained and the same is hereby set aside.

Consequently, trial court is directed to grant one opportunity to the petitioners to cross-examine PW-1 Harjit Singh.

Resultantly, with the above said direction issued, the present revision petition stands allowed with no orders as to costs.

[Rameshwar Singh Malik]
Judge

19.07.2017

sd

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether Reportable

: Yes/No