

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

121

CR No.1452 of 2017 (O & M)
Date of Decision:19.12.2017

Reeta Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

Prem Lata and another

...Respondents

RSA No.4597 of 2016 (O & M)

Ram Babu Tomar

...Appellant

Versus

Prem Lata

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. A.K. Khunger, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate
for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(Oral)

Respondent has filed an affidavit, which is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the parties are agreed that as undertaken by
the petitioner, the rainy water pipe has been replaced.

It may be noticed that in the civil suit a decree for mandatory
injunction and permanent injunction was passed directing the defendant to
remove the rainy water pipe, which had been installed in the Western side of
the common wall of the house of the plaintiff.

Since, the parties have resolved their dispute by getting the
water drainage pipe replaced, therefore, the present proceedings have

become infructuous.

In view thereof, both the cases i.e. the Civil Revision Petition
as well as the Regular Second Appeal are disposed of as such.

19.12.2017
sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No