

119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.1280 of 2017

Date of decision : - 31.07.2017

Ajay Sharma

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Jyoti Sharma

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present : Mr. J.P. Jangu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

The order dated 24.10.2016 passed by the Additional District Judge, Rewari has been assailed by the husband in the present revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

[2] While deciding application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, learned Court has found that the husband is in the business of transport and he has also received Rs. 20 lacs under Voluntarily Retirements Scheme.

[3] Learned Court has ordered that the wife and the son shall be entitled to maintenance pendente lite at the rate of Rs.4,000/- per month.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the amount fixed by the Court is on the higher side. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that the petitioner is also looking after another child of the parties.

[5] I have heard the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner, however, I am unable to agree. Learned trial Court has fixed the maintenance after looking into the income of the parties as well as their status. Maintenance as awarded by the Court is not excessive.

[6] For the reasons recorded above, the present revision petition is ordered to be dismissed.

July 31, 2017

sahil/sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE