

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Gurpreet Kaur
2017.02.28 13:48
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

C.R. No.1263 of 2017

Date of Decision: 22.02.2017

Sukhwinder Singh @ Sukhi

....Petitioner

Versus

Gurmeet Kaur and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. M.S. Kang, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that '*status quo*' has been misinterpreted by the other party, whereas, separate places are there where the building of the school and Co-operative Society is there and in between, there is a space. He further submits that because of '*status quo*', the petitioner is not being allowed to use/utilize his place.

After hearing the argument of learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of and in case, there is any ambiguity in the order, the petitioner is at liberty to move the trial/Appellate Court to clarify the order, where, as per order passed by the trial Court, which has been upheld by the lower Appellate Court, the '*status quo*' is ordered to be maintained.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

22.02.2017

gupreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No