

125 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No. 1260 of 2017(O&M)
Date of decision : 20.02.2017

Sundeep Singh

..... Petitioner

versus

Rajinder Kaur

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. G.S.Toor, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Vide order that is being assailed dated 20.10.2016, rendered by Civil Judge(Junior Division), Chandigarh, evidence of the plaintiff has since been closed. Ex-facie, the plaintiff has been remiss and negligent in pursuing his cause. But it is equally true that in the event he is not granted another opportunity to lead and conclude his evidence, he shall not only suffer an irreparable loss, that might also result in miscarriage of justice. That being so, without issuing any notice to the respondent to avert any further delay, and the expenses that she shall have to incur to defend these proceedings, the impugned order dated 20.10.2016 is set aside, and revision petition is disposed of in the following terms:-

(i) Plaintiff shall be granted two effective opportunities to lead and conclude his entire evidence on the date that shall be specified by the trial Court;

(ii) In the event of default, the evidence of the plaintiff shall be deemed

to have been closed and the matter shall not be adjourned at any cost;

(iii) This, however, shall be subject to payment of Rs. 10,000/- as costs which shall be condition precedent.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

February 20, 2017
sunita

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No