

**118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No. 1195 of 2017

Date of decision : 20.02.2017

Harjinder Kaur and others

..... Petitioners

versus

Ms.Kailash

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Pushpinder Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioners.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Plaintiffs are aggrieved against the order dated 04.02.2017 vide which the trial Court only issued notice of the suit as also in the stay application to the defendant, and did not grant an ex parte order of injunction as prayed for.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the eviction petition filed by the petitioner-landlords is pending before the Rent Controller against the respondent. However, in the meanwhile the respondent has started raising construction at the site. Which is why they have filed the present suit for injunction. He submits that the matter has now been adjourned to 01.03.2017. And in the event any substantial construction is raised by the respondent that would severely affect the interest of the petitioners, and shall also render the suit of the plaintiffs virtually infructuous.

Be that as it may, without going into the merits of the case it is deemed appropriate to grant liberty to the petitioners to move the necessary

application to apprise the trial Court of the urgency involved in the matter.
And in case any such application is moved, the same shall be considered expeditiously and in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

February 20, 2017
sunita

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No