

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.1175 of 2017

Date of decision: 17.02.2017

Rajiv Mehta

... Petitioner

Vs.

Ankur Bahl

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Misbahuddin Siddiqui, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the impugned order dated 05.12.2016 (Annexure P-1) passed by the learned trial Court, dismissing the application of the defendant-petitioner under Order 7 Rule 11 of the Code of Civil Procedure for rejecting the plaint of the plaintiff-respondent in view of the arbitration clause between the parties, defendant has approached this Court by way of present revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, for setting aside the impugned order.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

A bare perusal of the impugned order would show that the learned trial Court rightly proceeded strictly in accordance with the facts of the case as well as settled principles of law, while passing the impugned order. It has been rightly observed by the learned trial Court that plaintiff has denied the execution of alleged agreement itself. It has also been alleged by the plaintiff-respondent that the defendant-petitioner has obtained his signatures along with his wife on blank papers.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of the Court to withdraw the present revision petition.

Permission is granted.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

17.02.2017
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No