

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No. 1171 of 2017

Date of Decision:- 17.02.2017

Chaman Lal

....Petitioner

vs.

Harpreet Singh and another

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Arun Takhi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

This revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside order dated 12.11.2016 passed by the Presiding Officer, National Lok Adalat, Balachaur as certain observations made therein are affecting the case on merits.

I have perused order dated 12.11.2016 and the observations made therein by the Presiding Officer, National Lok Adalat, Balachaur. The case was referred to Lok Adalat but compromise could not be effected and thereafter it was referred to the regular Court for filing written statement. While sending the case back to the Court, certain observations have been made whereas it should not have been made. The purpose of sending the matter to Lok Adalat is only to see whether there is any possibility of compromise between the parties and in case, the matter is not settled between the parties, the observations made during hearing the parties, should not be reflected in the order while sending the case back to the

Court.

In the present case, no settlement was arrived at between the parties and matter was sent back to the Court. Observation made in the order may affect the case of either of the parties.

Accordingly, without issuing notice to other party, by considering the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the observation made in order dated 12.11.2016 be not construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case while deciding the case on merits.

Disposed of.

February 17, 2017
poonam

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

Yes