

224

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 1155 of 2017(O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.07.2017

Vikram Singh and OthersPetitioners

Vs

Haryana State and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. Amit Jain , Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. R.S. Madan , Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

CM No.15355-CII of 2017

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same
is allowed.

Reply is taken on record.

Main case

While issuing notion of motion, following order was
passed on 07.08.2017:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners submits

that merely because on one date of hearing i.e. 16.11.2016, the petitioners could not appear before the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Hisar (reference Court), the petition filed by them was dismissed. The petitioners have share in the award amount already deposited by the respondent-State and the petition was only for proportionment of the award amount.

Notice of motion for 28.07.2017.

Meantime, passing of the final order is stayed.”

Learned counsel for respondent No. 2 points out that the petitioners have already been allowed to join the proceedings. Power of attorney on behalf of the petitioners has already been filed on record and they have already joined the proceedings on 03.05.2017 before the Additional District Judge, Hisar.

Order dated 03.05.2017 was passed by the Additional District Judge to the following effect :-

“Learned counsel for petitioners No. 2 to 4 has filed his vakalatnama and has joined the proceedings today. He has even stated that he will file the application for impleading the LRs of deceased petitioner No. 1 Hardev and shall appear on behalf of his LRs on the next date of hearing. He has also undertaken to secure their presence. He has also undertaken to furnish the correct address of

respondent No. 11 and intimated that respondent No. 9 has died and shall move application for impleading her LRs as party in the present case. For this purpose, to come up on 04.07.2017.”

In view of aforesaid development, learned counsel for the petitioners intends to withdraw this revision petition at this stage with a right to get it revived in case any adverse order is passed in the context of the aforesaid subject matter.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

July 28, 2017.

Seema/prince

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No