

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 1534 of 2016

Date of decision : 13.01.2017

Bhag Singh & ors.

....Petitioners

V/s

Tehal Singh & anr.

....Respondents

BEFORE : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. B.S. Bali, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. R.S. Rana, Advocate for respondents no. 1 & 2.

RAJAN GUPTA J.

Present revision petition emanates from orders passed by two courts below whereby application filed by plaintiffs-petitioners for interim injunction to restrain the respondents from raising construction or alienating the property has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioners emphatically submits that in case respondents are allowed to raise construction or alienate the property it will cause irreparable loss to the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the respondents at the outset submits that respondents have no intention to alienate the property during the pendency of the civil suit.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

It appears that suit instituted by plaintiffs-petitioners for partition of the land is already pending before the revenue court. Needless to observe that in case any construction is raised by any party, same would be at his risk and cost. As regards alienation, a statement has already been

made by respondents that property would not be alienated during the pendency of suit.

Under the circumstances, I find no ground to interfere in revisional jurisdiction of this court. Disposed of.

January 13, 2017

Ajay

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No