

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

1. CWP No.10284 of 1994(O&M)

B.L. Mehta & others

...Petitioners

Vs.

**The National Bank of Agricultural and Rural Development (NABARD)
& others**

...Respondents

2. CWP No. 16615 of 1995(O&M)

T.R. Chandna & others

...Petitioners

Vs.

**The National Bank of Agricultural and Rural Development (NABARD)
& others**

...Respondents

3. CWP No. 16616 of 1995(O&M)

Virender Singh Dahiya

...Petitioner

Vs.

**The National Bank of Agricultural and Rural Development (NABARD)
& others**

...Respondents

Date of Decision: 15.03.2017

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Nemo.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

**This order will dispose of CWP No.10284 of 1994(O&M),
CWP No. 16615 of 1995(O&M) and CWP No. 16616 of 1995(O&M) as**

common question of law and facts arise in these cases.

These petitions are disposed of in terms of the orders passed in LPA No.192 of 2017, titled as “Malwa Gramin Bank vs. Balbir Singh and others” decided on October 31, 2012 and LPA No.195 of 2007 in CWP No. 8744 of 2005 decided on January 19, 2009 by which order the appeal has been disposed of as having been rendered as infructuous. It appears from the order passed in LPA No. 195 of 2017, that the Bank was mandated to consider the petitioners therein for promotion as per the principle of seniority-cum-merit with effect from the date the private respondents therein were ordered to be promoted. These petitions meet the same fate.

Accordingly, the file is closed. However, in case any of the parties remain aggrieved in seeking relief or denying it they can move an application for revival of the petition, if advised.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

15.03.2017
neeraj

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *No*