

**124 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.114 of 2017

Date of decision: January 12, 2017

M/s Nayas Projects Pvt. Ltd.

..Petitioner

Versus

Amit Kumar

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Sharma, Sr. Advocate with
 Mr. Shekhar Verma, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

By virtue of the instant petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought setting aside the order dated 3rd November, 2016 passed by Civil Judge (Junior Division), Gurgaon, whereby, the oral evidence of the petitioner/plaintiff has been ordered to be closed.

The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that though as many as ten opportunities were afforded to the petitioner/plaintiff by the trial Court for concluding his evidence but on six dates, the case was adjourned at the request of learned counsel for the defendant/respondent and the petitioner/plaintiff. Now only petitioner intends to examine two witnesses, namely, concerned Clerk from the office of Director General Town and Country Planning, Haryana and Mahesh K. Chauhan, Advocate, Gurgaon.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to examine above referred witnesses at own responsibility in case one effective opportunity is given to him.

The instant petition is disposed of with direction to learned trial Court to afford one effective opportunity to examine the above referred witnesses who shall be produced in the Court by the petitioner/plaintiff at his responsibility.

January 12, 2017
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No