

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

Civil Revision No. 1124 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.9.2017

Sohan Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Sital Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S.Brar, Advocate
for the respondents.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J

This revision has been preferred against the order dated 02.01.2017 (Annexure P-7) vide which the application filed by defendant No. 1 to examine finger print expert was allowed. The petitioner is seeking modification/clarification for reasons disclosed in the petition.

The parties have reached to an agreement.

The counsel for respondents states that the petition may be allowed as they would engage some other hand writing expert and not Sh. Arvind Sood.

Since the parties are *ad idem*, the petition is allowed. The impugned order is modified to that extent as the respondents conceded that they will engage some other hand writing expert and not Sh. Arvind Sood.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

September 25, 2017
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No