

101

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.1119 of 2017
Date of Decision: 01.09.2017**

REKHA DEVI

.....Petitioner

Vs

SUNIL KUMAR AND ORS.

....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Ms. Tanvi Jain, Advocate for
Mr. Sachin Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

[1]. Vide order dated 16.01.2017 passed by the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Kaithal, the prayer for withdrawal of the amount lying deposited in the form of FDRs in the names of minors was declined.

[2]. The impugned order was passed in consonance with the order passed by the High Court in CR No.6438 of 2016. The mother was allocated 40% of the total compensation with interest. The compensation to the tune of 20% each was allocated in favour of the three minors.

[3]. Petitioner has already withdrawn 50% of the allocated

compensation to the minors cumulatively and now prayer is being made for withdrawal of the remaining amount lying deposited in the names of the minors by way of amendment of the impugned order.

[4]. Since major part of the compensation has already been withdrawn by the petitioner, I do not see any justification to allow the petitioner to withdraw the entire amount lying deposited in the form of FDRs in the names of minors.

[5]. The petition stands accordingly dismissed.

September 01, 2017
Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No