

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.226

CR No.1224 of 2014 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: May 09, 2017

S K KAUSHAL

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

SUDESH KALRA ETC. AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.1(a), (b), (d) & (e).

Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jangra, Addl. A.G. Haryana,
respondent Nos.4 & 5.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The case has been called several times since morning but none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner. As such, instant petition is dismissed for want of non-prosecution.

The instant revision petition is not legally maintainable. Through the instant petition preferred under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has sought the setting aside of order dated December 20, 2013 vide which an application under Order IX Rule 9 of the CPC was dismissed. In fact, an appeal lies against the order, whereby an application

CR No.1224 of 2014 (O&M)

under Order IX Rule 9 CPC has been dismissed, under Order XLIII Rule 1C of the Code. Thus, instant petition stands dismissed on this score also.

May 09, 2017
Ankur

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No