

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.1420 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.11.2017**

S. Pritpal Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

S. Sukhwinder Singh Bains alias Sukhwinder Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Akash Jain, Advocate,
for Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajiv Joshi, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Challenge in this petition is to the order dated 13.01.2015 (Annexure P-7) passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Phagwara, whereby application seeking directions to DSP, Rawalpindi to ensure the obedience of injunction order passed by the Court vide order dated 31.05.2014, has been allowed.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 has informed that application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC for amendment of the plaint has been allowed by the trial Court on 12.08.2016.

Heard.

A perusal of the impugned order shows that vide order dated

31.05.2014 (Annexure P-2), defendants-petitioners were directed to maintain status quo regarding carrying out any sort of construction over the land as fully detailed in the head note of the plaint. During the pendency of the suit, plaintiff-respondents filed an application seeking direction to the DSP, Rawalpindi to ensure compliance of the aforesaid injunction order, as the defendants-petitioners were continuing with the construction on the disputed land. The said application has been allowed vide impugned order dated 13.01.2015 (Annexure P-7).

At this stage, this petition is disposed of with a direction that in case, any further construction is raised by the defendants-petitioners on the suit land, then respondents-plaintiffs will be at liberty to make an appropriate application before the Court seeking direction to the DSP concerned to ensure strict compliance of the status quo order passed by the Court.

20.11.2017
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No